

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 WARREN GARDNER, *et al.*, Individually and)
12 on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,)

13 Plaintiffs,)

14 vs.)

15 STARKIST CO., a Delaware company,)

16 Defendant.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 3:19-cv-02561-WHO

CLASS ACTION

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ISSUANCE
OF LETTER ROGATORY**

1 Having considered Plaintiffs' Motion for Issuance of Letter Rogatory ("Motion") (D.E.
2 __), and for good cause shown, the Court GRANTS the Motion. The Court will enter a separate
3 Order issuing the letter rogatory at issue.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 DATED: _____

7 _____
8 Hon. William H. Orrick Jr.
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28